	177
	ORIGIN Atlam Nos. 29 & 30
1	STATE OF NEW JERSEY
2	CASINO CONTROL COMMISSION
3	CONSOLIDATED PROCEEDINGS FOR RENEWAL : OF TRUMP PLAZA ASSOCIATES AND :
4	TRUMP'S CASTLE ASSOCIATES
5	Wednesday, May 8, 1991 Atlantic City Commission Office
6	Tennessee & Boardwalk Atlantic City, NJ 08401
7	VOLUME II
8	<u>BEFORE:</u>
9	STEVEN P. PERSKIE, CHAIRMAN VALERIE H. ARMSTRONG, VICE CHAIR
10	W. DAVID WATERS, COMMISSIONER JAMES R. HURLEY, COMMISSIONER
11	FRANK J. DODD, COMMISSIONER
12	
13	PRESENT FOR THE CASINO CONTROL COMMISSION:
14	BARBARA A. GALLO, PRINCIPAL RESEARCH ANALYST KAREN G. BIACHE, ADMINISTRATIVE ANALYST
15	THOMAS FLYNN, PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER
16	ON BEHALF OF THE COMMISSION STAFF:
17	JOHN R. ZIMMERMAN, DEPUTY DIRECTOR, LEGAL DIVISION
18	
19	ON BEHALF OF THE DIVISION STAFF:
20	THOMAS N. AURIEMMA, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL DENIS DOOLEY, II, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
21	
22	
23	SILVER & RENZI REPORTING SERVICE 824 West State Street, Trenton, New Jersey 08618
24	609-989-9191 (Toll Free) 800-792-8880
25	

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

	1	
	Item Nos. 29 & 30	
1	A P P E A R A N C E S: RIBIS, GRAHAM & CURTIN, ESQS.,	
2	BY: JOSEPH A. FUSCO, ESQ. FOR TRUMP PLAZA ASSOCIATES AND TRUMP'S CASTLI	7
3	ASSOCIATES	-
4	PATRICIA M. WILD, ESQ., INHOUSE COUNSEL FOR TRUMP PLAZA ASSOCIATES	
5		
6	ROBERT M. PICKUS, ESQ., INHOUSE COUNSEL FOR TRUMP'S CASTLE ASSOCIATE:	5
7	INDEX	
8	<u>VOTE</u> <u>PA(</u>	<u>JE</u>
9	 Chairman Perskie requested a motion 180 from the Commission delegating to the Vice Chair or to myself acting. 	5
10	separately or in concert to review	
11	and approve the sufficiency of the document when submitted	
12	2. Conditions recommended in the report 22: of the Divisiion of Financial	L
13	Evaluation	
14	3. Chairman Perskie moves the 224 Commission to renew the casino	ł
15	license of Trump Castle Associates effective May 16, 1991 subject to	
16	all the conditions set forth in the	
17	staff reports submitted into evidence and otherwise here or now imposed in the previous resolution on the Plaza,	
18	and further subject on the condition that, on a weekly basis, the bond	
19	indenture trustee shall submit a report to the Commission and to the	
20	Division with respect to the progress of the Castle's exchange offer.	
21	-	
22	4. Chairman Perskie moves that the 22. Commission approve the Castle sale)
23	to the Taj Mahal of the two parking facilities	
24		
25		

Item Nos. 29 & 30

	10em NOS: 25 & 50	
1	INDEX (cont'd)	
2	VOTE 5. Chairman Perskie moves that the Taj Mahal license resolution of April 18	<u>PAGE</u> 226
3	be amended so that the languages of the condition on the transfers be	
4	amended so as to reflect the same language we have today imposed on the	
5	Plaza & Castle	
6	 Chairman Perskie moves to affirm the initial decision and deny the 	247
7	application for a casino employee	
8	E X H I B I T S NUMBER DESCRIPTION	EVD
9	A-47C Revised TCFI offering circular dated April 23, 1991 to	180
10	bondholders offering to exchange Series A-3 bonds for up to \$22.68	
11	million of Series A-1 bonds (this	
12	exhibit supersedes A-47B and was mailed to bondholders on May 2, 199	91)
13	A-47D TCFI Letter of transmittal pursuant to its offering circular	181
14		
15	A-47E Affidavit of mailing of the offering circular and letter of transmission on May 2, 1991 by	181
16	Trustee First Bank National Association to the holders of	
17	Association to the holders of TCFI Series A-1 bonds	
18	A-52 Letter dated May 2, 1991 from Patricia M. Wild, TPA vice	182
19	president, general counsel, to	
20	Chair Perskie concerning slot tokens and project manning reports	
21	(with affidavit)	
22	A-53 Letter dated May 6, 1991 from Stephen F. Bollenback, The Trump	182
23	Organization, to the Commission concerning status of discussions with bank lenders	
24		104
25	C-9A Trump Plaza and industry timelines of PMR submissions first quarter 1991	184

1	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Not yet, let's do
2	thelet's proceed to agenda Item No. 30 then we will
3	do the public. We also have to deal, we had one
4	matter carried over from this morning.
5	All right, continuation in the matter
6	of the applications of Trump's Castle Associates and
7	Trump Plaza Associates for renewal of their casino and
8	attendant licenses.
9	First, let me indicate for the record
10	that a number of additional exhibits have been
11	proffered. My understanding is that the Division has
12	reviewed the exhibits and has no objection to them
13	being received into evidence and they include the
14	following: A-47B which is a revised offering circular
15	which is designed to supersedeexcuse me, A-47C which
16	is a revised circular designed to supercede A-47B, is
17	that correct?
18	MR. FUSCO: That is correct.
19	MR. AURIEMMA: That is correct.
20	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: And everybody
21	agrees that should be received into evidence?
22	MR. FUSCO: Yes, sir.
23	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: That will be
24	received in evidence.
25	(A-47C was marked into evidence)

180

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: A-47D is a letter 1 of transmittal pursuant to the offering circular. 2 That's also offered for Trump's Castle? 3 MR. FUSCO: Correct, yes, sir. 4 MR. AURTEMMA: No objection. 5 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: That will be 6 received in evidence. 7 (A-47D was marked into evidence) 8 9 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: A-47E is an affidavit of mailing of the offering circular also 10 11 submitted in evidence? 12 MR. FUSCO: Yes, sir. 13 MR. AURIEMMA: No objection. CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Received in 14 evidence. 15 16 (A-47E was marked in evidence) CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: A-52 is a letter 17 with an affidavit attached sent by Ms. Wild to me in 18 response to some of the dialogue at the last meeting 19 and about which more discussion will be had in a 20 21 little while. As I understand it that is offered 22 without objection into evidence. 23 MR. FUSCO: Yes, sir. 24 MR. DOOLEY: That is correct, Mr. 25 Chairman.

CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Received in 1 2 evidence. (A-52 and A-53 were marked into 3 4 evidence) CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: A-53 which I will 5 receive formally in evidence today is a May 6 letter 6 from Mr. Bollenbach pursuant to the weekly obligation 7 which I will note for the record was received in the 8 Commission yesterday and was made available publicly 9 yesterday. Before I leave that matter, Mr. Fusco, 10 because it doesn't directly relate to the Plaza or 11 Castle applications here, but putting on our hat as 12 consolidated Taj Mahal process, the letter is 13 satisfactory in form insofar as it generally 14 identifies subjects and people with whom discussions 15 were ongoing. But I think that future letters should 16 do two things. Number one, be somewhat more 17 particular with respect to the subject of discussions 18 that are identified as taking place, that is to say 19 identifying the subject matter of the discussions and 20 some sense of what the schedule of those discussions 21 is and when those discussions may be reducable to 2.2 23 paper. Secondly, with respect to those discussions that are reduced to some form of paper, either 24 proposed term sheets or otherwise, and where reference 25

1	to that fact is made in the letter, the paper should
2	-be attached as exhibits. I will indicate that it is
3	my view that while in general subject to any specific
4	application of sealing, a cover letter and general
5	description of what's going on should, in fact, be
6	considered as evidence when submitted and should be
7	made public, that the enclosures in the forms of any
8	documents and the terms of any term sheets and the
9	like in the ordinary course will not be released until
10	they are formally admitted into evidence which I
11	contemplate in this context would be June 17.
12	MR. FUSCO: Yes, sir.
13	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: But they should
14	be, wherever there is a reference that there is paper
15	the Commission should be supplied with the paper as an
16	attachment or an exhibit to the cover letter.
17	MR. FUSCO: We will do so.
18	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Mr. Chairman, while
19	we are completing the record, on the subject of A-52,
20	the PMRs, I would submit as an addendum to the staff
21	report, which has been premarked C-9A, additional
22	statistics prepared by the affirmative action staff on
23	PMR filings for Plaza and for the industry as a whole
24	during the first quarter of '91.
25	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: This would be

Item No. 30 what, attached to C-9? MR, ZIMMERMAN: Yes. 2 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Therefore C-9A? 3 MR ZIMMERMAN: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Mr. Fusco and 5 6 Auriemma, any objection? 7 MR. FUSCO: Two pages? CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Two pages. 8 9 MR. FUSCO: No objection. CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Pretty little 1.0 computer sheet here. That will be received in 11 12 evidence. (C-9A was marked into evidence) 1.3 14 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: There is a reference hear, Mr. Zimmerman, I can't--I don't know 15 if it's Xd or not, what is C-12? Have we received 16 that? It doesn't show it's been received. 17 MR. FUSCO: C-12 is the report. 18 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: - Of our financial. 19 evaluation director as to Trump's Castle. Has that 20 21 been received in evidence? MR. FUSCO: I believe so. 22 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: I think it has. 2.3 Maybe the X--oh, there it is or maybe it is. I can't 24 tell if it's under the staple or not. 25

184

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

Item No. 30 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes, there is an X 2 under my staple. CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: If there is an X 3 under your staple, Mr. Zimmerman, there must be one 4 5 under mine. All right, now where are we? I guess 6 7 that takes us to closing arguments. MR. ZIMMERMAN: Chairman, if I may, 8 just one other housekeeping item, on the Tortoise and 9 Unicorn ICA, the application must be completed by next 10 Wednesday and it is complete at this point other than 11 the trust agreement which is close to complete and it 12might be appropriate to delegate that responsibility 13 to make the ruling on the completeness of the 14 15 application. 16 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: I would request a motion from the Commission delegating to the Vice 17 Chair or to myself acting separately or in concert to 18 review and approve the sufficiency of the document -19 2.0 when submitted. 21 COMMISSIONER WATERS: So moved. 22 COMMISSIONER HURLEY: Second. 23 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Any comment or discussion? 24 All in favor will so indicate. 25

Item No. 30 The record will reflect the motion 1 2 carries unanimously. (All Commissioners present voted in 3 favor of the motion) 4 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Does that clean 5 the decks or clear the decks? 6 MR. AURIEMMA: It does I think. 7 MR. FUSCO: One minute, I had one 8 question of Mr. Zimmerman. 9 Yes, Mr. Chairman, I have nothing 10 11 further. CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Mr. Auriemma. 12 MR. AURIEMMA: On April 29, 1991 the 13 Commission commenced renewal proceedings with respect 14 to Trump Plaza Associates and Trump's Castle 1.5 Associates with the primary focus being upon the 16 financial stability of these two licensees. In many 17 respects what is occurring with these two licensees is 18 similar to that which is also happening regarding the 19 Taj Mahal and Donald J. Trump, that is fiscal 20 reorganization. 21 From the testimony that we have heard 22 and the evidence introduced, certain matters are 23 clear. Both the FMR Trump Plaza transaction and the 24 Trump Castle offering circular must be successful if 25

1	these two facilities are to meet their mid June 1991
2	bondholder sinking fund obligation. Operations
3	plainly have failed to generate sufficient funds to
4	meet the financial obligations. As a result both
5	properties are borrowing money to meet principal
6	payments thereby sustaining the cash flow deficit.
7	Assume for the moment, and we will know soon enough,
8	that these transactions are, in fact, timely
9	consummated, the next critical evaluation is of the
10	cash position of both licensees. This is so since
11	both will be relying upon cash generated from
12	operations and Castle will also be relying on asset
13	sales to satisfy bondholder interest payments in mid
14	June as well as certain other obligations. Neither
15	facility at present has any credit lines available in
16	the event of cash shortfall for working capital.
17	The projections and the testimony
18	that have been received which assume the FMR
19	transaction and the exchange offer are consummated
20	suggest that cash flow will be sufficient to satisfy
21	the June obligation. Reality, of course, may be
22	different. As to Trump Castle that facility has
23	undoubtedly benefited from two asset sales which will
24	net it approximately seven million dollars. Trump
25	Plaza, on the other hand, is seemingly relying solely

on operational success. 1 Saturday, June 15 is rapidly 2 approaching. In actuality, therefore, Monday, June 17 3 is the day when these bondholder obligations must be 4 satisfied. We will certainly know by that date the 5 cash positions of each entity and whether the 6 bondholder obligations have, in fact, been satisfied. 7 We know from the Commission's 8 decision regarding the Taj Mahal and Mr. Trump that 9 they will be before this Commission on Monday, June 10 17. In the Division's view we believe that the 11 Commission should require Trump's Castle Associates 12 and Trump Plaza Associates to be present that day as 13 well and establish that the payments have been made 14 and explain that adequate resources exist to ensure 15 that patrons, taxes and fees and employees are also 16 17 timely paid. With respect to progressive jackpots 18 at each facility, the state must be provided with 19 clear and convincing evidence that cash resources or 2.0 credit lines exist to guarantee the payment of these 21 jackpots to the casino patrons. 22 In the past when cash has become a 23 problem for a casino licensee, various conditions have 24 been imposed to protect certain constituents. Most 25

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1	significantly these conditions have centered around
2	dedicated accounts for jackpot liabilities, fees and
3	taxes and payroll. As we sit here today, we do not
4	believe that there is a present need for these
5	accounts. However, cash may become critically low for
6	each of these licensees and these types of accounts
7	may be necessary if not essential to protect the
8	public interest. This is a matter that should be
9	further addressed on June 17 we believe.
10	Of course, we further believe that
11	these two licensees should make every effort to
12	procure credit lines in the event that cash balances
13	fall to perilously low levels. We urge the Commission
14	to require that these licensees attempt to obtain such
15	credit lines and report to the Commission and Division
16	on a weekly basis regarding their efforts. If credit
17	lines are established, that would presumably obviate
18	the need for even considering the imposition of
19	dedicated accounts. We do not believe that the
20	procurement of credit lines is onerous specifically
21	since one licensee, Trump's Castle, must obtain a
22	letter of credit for the December 1991 interest
23	payment to the new A-3 bondholders. Just as these
24	bondholders have sought assurances that they will be
25	timely paid, we see equal assurances for the gaming

Item No. 30 public and the state. 1 On a going forward basis both 2 regulatory agencies have to be diligent in monitoring 3 payments by these two licensees. Further, in June of 4 1992 events will have to unfold favorably for Trump's 5 Castle and Trump Plaza to continue to meet bondholder 6 obligations. As we know from the projections Trump 7 Plaza is relying upon an additional 25 million dollar 8 principal amount of bonds being advanced in 1992. 9 Yet, the sole discretion in this matter rests with 1.0 Similarly, in June 1992 we will have to see a 11 FMR. successful exchange offering concerning Castle bonds 121.3 or some other restructuring. What all of this portends in our view 14 is that careful watching by the Commission and the 15 Division will be required for some time and if matters 16 do not develop as hoped for by the licensees speedy 17 regulatory action may be necessary. In the meantime, 18 however, we should not hamper the positive efforts 19 that have been made by these two licensees to deal 2.0 with their respective fiscal dilemmas provided the 21 public interest is safequarded. 22 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Thank you, Mr. 23 Auriemma. 24 Mr. Fusco, in your closing I would 25

	Item No. 50
1	appreciate it if you would address yourself to the
2	implications of C-9A specifically with respect to the
3	apparent differential, if you will, between the
4	numbers that are represented therein and the numbers
5	that are included in the letter that was sent to me.
6	MR. FUSCO: If I could, Mr. Chairman,
7	I would like to address that at the outset.
8	The affidavit that was provided as
9	part of A-52 recites a series of numbers which relates
10	to project manning reports, filing and the timeliness
11	thereof. C-9A, one of the two pages which is entitled
12	Trump Plaza timeliness for first quarter 1991 recites
13	a series of numbers which reflect 16 additional
14	project manning reports. I learned about the
15	differential when I arrived here about an hour ago.
16	It is clear to me that thoseof those 16, 11 were
17	submitted by single men. I am advised by Plaza staff
18	that those 11, there was confusion because the
19	subcontractor who was responsible, whose project
20	manning reports they were had received, we believe,
21	incorrect directions from its prime contractor who is
22	our vendor. We have spoken to the subcontractor. The
23	subcontractor historically has a very good record from
24	our experience with the Commission in timely filing
25	these reports.

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

	Item NO. 30
1	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Who is the
2	subcontractor?
3	MR. FUSCO: Calvi Electric and from
4	all our experience, Mr. Chairman, we have had very
5	good success with dealing with them. We believe that
6	the problem is solved. As I say, I learned of this
7	and so did Ms. Wild about an hour ago and that's my
8	explanation. So that I think our numbers are
9	inaccurate to the extent that they cut off at a time
10	earlier than those 16 reports. I don't think we are
11	talking about anything other than that.
12	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: That's exactly
13	what it is. The concern though is that when you add
14	in the 16 late reports or later reports they skew the
15	percentages.
16	MR. FUSCO: That's true, and I make
17	one other observation, it is my understanding that the
18	Commission's focus on the timeliness of these reports
19	really is a focus on the efforts of the casino
20	licensee to insist upon timely reporting by its
21	vendors and subcontractors. In this particular case
22	in light of this particular vendor, the subcontractor
23	in this case, we believe that there was a
24	misunderstanding which is solved as to 11 of those
25	reports and that is what skewed our percentages.

Item No. 30 That's the most precise explanation I can provide at 1 this point in time. 2 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Do you have 3 anything on the other five? 4 MR. FUSCO: I haven't been able to 5 analyze the rest of them, Mr. Chairman. I will gladly 6 provide more information. I just don't have it. 7 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: You should to Mr. 8 Thomas who is here and not necessarily right this 9 minute obviously, but on an ongoing basis I would like 1.0 to get some more data on that by the end of the week 11 and I would also like to know by the end of next week, 12 Mr. Thomas, what we have in our files with respect to 13 Calvi and whether from our point of view this is a 14 chronic problem with them or not. 15 MR. THOMAS: Yes, sir. 16 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: All right. 17 MR. FUSCO: Members of the 18 Commission, Mr. Chairman, the issues presented by 19 these consolidated proceedings concern the financial 2.0 stability, integrity and responsibility through May 21 1993 of Trump Plaza which has operated in Atlantic 22 City for seven years, of the Castle which has operated 23 here for more than six years and, of course, of Donald 2.4 Trump who was first found qualified by this Commission 25

1 almost 10 years ago. As to Mr. Trump, the Commission three 2 weeks ago on April 18 in reviewing--in renewing the 3 Taj Mahal casino licenses recognized his continuing 4 5 good faith efforts to consummate agreements with his lender banks and the apparent likelihood that these 6 obligations will be satisfactorily restructured. 7 In addition to the evidence in the 8 record at that time exhibits A-51 and A-53, the April 9 29 and May 6 reports of Mr. Bollenbach as to the 10 status of discussions with the lender banks clearly 11 12 demonstrate term sheets are now being drafted with five of the banks and that verbal agreements are close 13 14 with the others. These weekly reports will, of 15 course, continue. Also now in evidence is exhibit A-48 which is the revised cash flow forecast for Mr. 16 17 Trump as of April 25, 1991. Recognizing the Commission has determined to resume the proceedings as 18 19 to Mr. Trump on June 17, the licensees submit that the evidence in these proceedings as of today clearly 2.0 21 establishes financial stability, integrity and responsibility required for Mr. Trump as a natural 22 person gualifier to the Trump Plaza and Castle casino 23 2.4 license. 25 As to the Plaza, it historically has

been one of the most successful of the New Jersey 1 2 casinos, primarily because of its central boardwalk 3 location, its superior upscale facility, and its appeal to the more premium player. 1990, however, 4 simply stated, was a very difficult year for Trump -5 Plaza. Not only did it feel the general impact of the 6 7 recession in the economy as it deepened, but also 8 contemporaneously with the opening of the Taj Mahal it experienced the sudden resignation of its president 9 10 and chief operating officer. Now new executive 11 management is securely in place. Nicholas Ribis is 12 the chief executive officer of each of the three Trump 13 casinos, has taken a firm hold of the strategic and financial planning of each property. Kevin DeSanctis 14 now brings as many years of management experience as 15 the principal casino executive at five major Nevada 16 17 casino hotels and his accounting and regulatory 18 backgrounds to Trump Plaza as its president and chief 19 operating officer. Mr. DeSanctis, with the approval 20 of Mr. Ribis, has solidified his on-site senior 21 management team, the majority of which was already in 22 place. With his direction Trump Plaza has now refined 23 its operating programs. In the words of Mr. 2.4 DeSanctis, Trump Plaza is and will continue as an 25 upscale operation which caters to more of a premium

1	player. As he testified we think we have a great
2	product and we are going to concentrate on the
3	details. His intent is to implement a very simple,
4	straight forward program and to concentrate on
5	details.
6	The primary casino revenue
7	components, of course, are generated by table games
8	and slot operations. As to table games, Trump Plaza
9	as of five weeks ago has replaced its costly and
10	inefficient charter programs and junkets with a more
11	focused splinter program. Its straight forward and
12	newly implemented marketing policy is simply a patron
13	must play to receive complimentaries. Its operating
14	focus has now been redirected from a goal of
15	maximizing total revenue to the more appropriate
16	objective of maximizing its operating income.
17	As to slot operations Trump Plaza has
18	greatly strengthened its bus program with the addition
19	of Lily Simone as its new vice president in charge of
20	that function, has reduced the cost and increased the
21	inefficiency of its direct mail coin program by
22	selectively refining and reducing its target customer
23	base.
24	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: You say increase
25	the inefficiency?

1	MR. FUSCO: Increased the efficiency
2	is what I certainly meant to say and I'm sure Mr.
3	DeSanctis agrees. And has greatly increased its
4	service and attention to the highly profitable and
5	virtually cost-free walk in patrons which are so
б	easily available to its central boardwalk location.
7	Changes in the floor configuration
8	will add 200 slot machines including the highly
9	popular poker machines and contemplate conversion of
10	an underused gaming pit and a cocktail lounge to slot
11	operations, areas. These changes will provide Trump
12	Plaza slot patrons with a substantially enhanced
13	physical product.
14	The Trump Plaza forecasts we submit
15	are reasonable and attainable throughout the licensing
16	period. Its current cash position with anticipated
17	cash flow from operations will provide adequate
18	financial resources for the payment of its obligations
19	and the operation of its casino.
20	Mr. Ribis testified as to the sinking
21	fund payments due to Trump Plaza Funding bondholders
22	during June of 1991 and 1992, and as to exhibit A-41A,
23	which is the April 2, 1991 agreement between Trump
24	Plaza and Fidelity which the Commission this afternoon
25	found qualified as a financial source. The agreement

1	contemplates that Fidelity by May 15 will transfer to
2	Trump Plaza 25 million dollars in face amount of Trump
3	Plaza Funding bonds which it already now owns in
4	exchange for the 25 million dollar note of the
5	partnership at an interest rate for its first two
6	years lower than that payable on the bonds and with
7	the maturity date slightly earlier than that of the
8	bonds. The note will be secured by mortgage lien on
9	the Trump Plaza parking parcel superior to that of the
10	bonds. The transaction will occur during May and will
11	fully satisfy the 1991 sinking fund obligation.
12	In his testimony Mr. Ribis also
13	indicated that a bond transaction with Fidelity to
14	fully satisfy the 1992 sinking fund obligation which
15	is described in the exhibit, in the agreement as an
16	option will, in fact, be available to Trump Plaza from
17	Fidelity unless there is a total disaster in the
18	world, but that it would be very expensive. Mr. Ribis
19	further stated that he has focused on anticipating
20	this financial responsibility of Trump Plaza, is
21	looking at other opportunities and is investigating
22	all the alternatives.
23	Accordingly, Trump Plaza submits that
24	its evidence clearly and convincingly establishes that
25	it will continue to be financially stable and have

1	adequate financial resources to operate its casino
2	through 1993, May 16, 1993.
3	The Castle during 1990 in the
4	testimony of Roger Wagner suffered the most from the
5	opening of the Taj Mahal and similarly experienced
6	disruption in its executive management
7	contemporaneously with the opening of that facility 13
8	months ago. New executive management is also now in
9	place at the Castle with Mr. Ribis as the chief
10	executive officer, and Mr. Wagner now serving as its
11	president and chief operating officer. Mr. Wagner too
12	has solidified his on-site senior management team
13	mostly with executives who were already in place
14	there.
15	Unlike Trump Plaza, however, the
16	Castle has adopted a substantial change in its
17	strategy by targeting a new market position and
18	developing a unique market identity and casual,
19	informal theme. Its efforts have now been redirected
20	from its former emphasis on competing for premium
21	players to programs designed to attract those who are $\stackrel{,}{,}$
22	currently drive-in patrons of boardwalk casinos. Its
23	spacious and meticulously maintained facility on the
24	15 acre site which overlooks the fully reconstructed
25	600 slips at the Farley Marina will now be fully

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1 marketed.

1	marketed.
2	Mr. Wagner, of course, brings us more
3	than 20 years of experience as a casino industry
4	executive in four distinct industry markets to the
5	Castle. As you know, his last eight years have been
6	spent in New Jersey at the Claridge. During his first
7	16 weeks he has reduced the Castle daily operating
8	break even point by 29 percent. He brought the Castle
9	accounts payable to below industry average, and has
10	dramatically reduced the proportion and amount of its
11	promotional allowances while significantly increasing
12	its cash position and exceeding its first quarter
13	forecast as to operating income. The Castle has now
14	eliminated its junket program, established more
15	conservative credit benchmarks and eliminated its
16	transportation facility, print shop and contract
17	laundry services.
18	More specifically, under the
19	direction of Mr. Wagner the Castle has substantially
20	increased the capacity of its buffet, implemented a
21	new showroom policy and undertaken construction of a
22	new lobby lounge.
23	More significantly, its casino is now
24	being reconfigured as depicted in exhibit A-50 to
25	contain 400 new slot machines, 700 new slot stools,

1	wider aisles and improved sight lines and greater
2	visibility and higher frequency jackpots. Its casino
3	will now offer New Jersey's first Las Vegas style
4	video poker bar. These changes are being carefully
5	implemented so not to impede the company's objective
6	of maximizing its table game revenue. In the words of
7	Mr. Wagner, by Memorial Day the products will be in \cdot
8	place and priced and then we start promoting them and
9	putting the gas pedal on and making our impact in the
10	marketplace.
11	In April the Castle sold its Delilah
12	Road fleet maintenance and office warehouse for 1.7
13	million dollars and by May 14 will close on the sale
14	of its Route 30 parking facility for 4.9 million
15	dollars. Its forecast, Mr. Wagner testified, are
16	reasonable and attainable throughout the licensing
17	period. In his words, management is now programmed to
18	flex with the revenues. It's anticipated cash flow
19	from operations will provide adequate financial
20	resources for the payment of its obligations in the
21	operation of its casino.
22	Mr. Ribis, again, gave testimony
23	concerning the sinking fund payments due to Castle
24	funding bondholders during June of 1991 and 1992, and
-25	the offering circular which on May 2 was mailed by the

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1	trustee to each series A-1 bondholder. By the
2	circular, which is exhibit A-47C, Castle has offered
3	to exchange 22.7 million dollars in newly issued
4	series A-3 bonds for an identical amount of series A-1
5	bonds which represents 6.9 percent of all outstanding
6	Castle bonds in the precise amount of the sinking fund
7	obligation. The A-3 bonds will have a slightly higher
8	interest rate and a slightly earlier maturity date
9	than those of the A-1 bonds. The exchange will fully
10	satisfy the 1991 sinking fund obligation.
11	The offering circular was prepared in
12	the context of discussions with many of the Castle
13	bondholders and includes terms which some of those
14	bondholders have requested. Mr. Ribis emphasized in
15	his testimony that over 60 million dollars worth of
16	face amount bondholders have indicated their intention
17	and their willingness to participate in the exchange
18	and to tender their bonds. As to the 1992 Castle
19	sinking fund payment Mr. Ribis reiterated that he has
20	focused upon that obligation and is investigating all
21	alternatives which include a similar exchange offer
22	during 1992. He fully expects to satisfy that
23	obligation during the 13 month period which precedes
24	its due date.
25	Accordingly, the Castle submits that
	1

	203
	Item No. 30
1	its evidence clearly and convincingly establishes that
2	it will continue to be financially stable and have
3	adequate financial resources to operate its casino
4	through May 16, 1993.
5	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Thank you, Mr.
6	Fusco.
7	Commissioners, does any of you have
8	any questions that you wish to present to counsel at
9	this point?
10	Trump Plaza and Trump Castle have
11	applied for the renewal of their casino licenses for a
12	two year period. As was the case in the recently
13	concluded Taj Mahal license renewal hearing, the sole
14	contested issue at the hearing is the financial
15	stability of the licensees.
16	On August $2\frac{1}{p}$, 1990, the Commission
17	approved certain agreements relating to a
18	comprehensive debt restructuring of The Trump
19	Organization which, in part, pledged the Trump casino
20	assets to support noncasino debt. The Commission
21	concluded at that time that the restructuring would
22	have a salutary effect on the financial condition of
23	The Trump Organization. However, the Commission
24	recognized that the restructuring was not a panacea
25	for the financial problems that plagued The Trump

203

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1	Organization in general or the casinos in particular.
2	Accordingly, the license hearings for Trump's three
3	casino properties were reopened.

The Commission's reservations have 4 proven to be well-founded as The Trump Organization 5 continued to experience financial difficulties 6 throughout the past year, culminating in the decision 7 to terminate the agreements executed last summer in 8 favor of a new restructuring proposal. The casino 9 properties have been beset by problems of their own as 1.0 they grapple with their exorbitant debt burdens. 11

On April 18, 1991, the Commission 12 renewed the license for the Taj Mahal subject to 13 further review of the licensee's financial stability. 14 In granting the renewal application, Commission 15 determined that financial restructurings of the Taj 16 Mahal and The Trump Organization were underway which, 17 when and if consummated, could result in a financially 18 stable casino property and a financially stable Trump 19 organization. By the same token, however, the 20 Commission concluded that, absent implementation of 21 the plans, neither the Taj Mahal nor The Trump 22 Organization would be able to establish long-term 23 financial stability. Due to the uncertain status of 24 both plans, the Commission was unable to reach a final 25

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1	conclusion on the financial stability issue.
2	Of course, The Trump Organization's
3	financial stability is also a requisite for
4	relicensure at the Plaza and the Castle. Obviously,
5	the Commission's previous ruling regarding The Trump
6	Organization is pertinent and applicable in this
7	proceeding. It therefore bears repeating that the
8	precarious financial situation confronting The Trump
9	Organization has not been resolved. Accordingly, any
10	final decision on the overriding issue of financial
11	stability of these licensees must necessarily await
12	the outcome of future events.
13	With that background, I will now
14	address the record presented during last week's
15	hearing and supplemented today.
16	The Plaza has incurred long-term debt
17	totaling approximately 275 million dollars, 28 million
18	dollars of which is due during 1991. The major
19	portion of this debt involves repayments on its 250
20	million dollar principal amount, 12 and seven-eighths
21	percent first mortgage bonds. Interest on these bonds
22	is payable on June 15 and December 15 of each year,
23	and sinking fund payments of 25 million dollars are
24	required annually, commencing June 15, 1991. Thus,
25	Plaza's immediate financial concern is its ability to

	200
	Item No. 30
1	make the upcoming 41.1 million dollar principal and
2	interest payment of June 15.
3	Nicholas Ribis, CEO for the Trump
4	casino properties, testified that an agreement has
5	been reached with Fidelity Management and Research
6	Company, a substantial bondholder, which will enable
7	Plaza to satisfy the 25 million dollar bond principal
8	repayment. Pursuant to this agreement, which is
9	expected to be consummated by May 15, 1991, Fidelity
10	will exchange 25 million dollars of the bonds for a 25
11	million dollar note due September 30, 1996. Fidelity
12	will also receive a 1.3 million dollar cash payment on
13	June 15, 1991, representing the accrued interest on
14	the bonds to the date of the exchanges. The bonds
15	would then be tendered in lieu of the sinking fund
16	payment. The new note would be secured primarily by a
17	mortgage on Plaza's parking facility. Interest on
18	this new note will be payable monthly commencing June
19	15, 1991, at 11 percent during the first year, 12
20	percent during the second year and 12 and
21	seven-eighths percent thereafter. If the June 1992
22	payment is not otherwise satisfied, Fidelity will have
23	the option to make a similar exchange in 1992. If
24	executed, this second agreement would enable Plaza to
25	satisfy its sinking fund requirement for 1992. This
1	

206

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

second note would be secured by a lien on the casino
hotel junior to the lien on the bond indenture. If
Fidelity engages in this second transaction, it would
also receive a 4.95 percent equity interest in the
Plaza.
Although there is currently no
commitment from Fidelity for the 1992 proposal, Ribis
expressed confidence based on his ongoing negotiations
that, absent some unusual occurrence, Fidelity would
agree to the transaction. He further stated that if a
second deal cannot be achieved, Plaza could utilize
other alternatives to generate the needed funds, such
as a refinancing, an exchange offering, or the
reinstitution of credit facility.
Plaza concedes that without that,
without this exchange agreement, it would not have
sufficient funds to satisfy its debt service. Plaza's
independent accountant, Arthur Andersen & Company,
issued a recent report concluding that, without a debt
restructuring, it was unlikely that Plaza could
produce sufficient cash to meet its debt obligations.
produce sufficient cash to meet its debt obligations. Clearly, if the contemplated agreement with Fidelity
produce sufficient cash to meet its debt obligations.
produce sufficient cash to meet its debt obligations. Clearly, if the contemplated agreement with Fidelity

	100 100
1	firm agreement has been reached for 1991 and that it
2	is reasonably likely that a subsequent agreement
3	covering 1992 will be similarly achieved.
4	Apart from the sinking fund
5	obligation, Plaza still faces a 16 million dollar
6	interest payment on June 15. Kevin DeSanctis,
7	recently appointed president and chief operating
8	officer of the Plaza, testified that Plaza currently
9	has an available cash balance of approximately 14
10	million dollars, including four million dollars in
11	in-house funds. He anticipates that that, based on
12	recent operating results, Plaza will generate
13	sufficient cash flow to satisfy this interest
14	payment. Management's financial projections indicate
15	that Plaza will be able to make this and other
16	interest payments as they come due during the license
17	period. The report of the Commission staff, admitted
18	into evidence, opines that the assumptions underlying
19	these forecasts are reasonable and that the predicated
20	operational performances may be attained. The report
21	concludes that, if the exchange agreement is executed
22	and the forecasts are realized, Plaza would satisfy
23	the Act's requirement of financial stability.
24	Significantly, recent operating results have exceeded
25	forecasts.

1	From an operational standpoint,
2	DeSanctis stated that he did not expect many changes
3	in key management positions, which should bring needed
4	stability to the facility. He added the changes were
5	being made to enhance casino performance, such as
6	elimination of unprofitable aspects of operations and
7	making a more concerted effort to attract preferred
8	customers.
9	Thus, it appears that Plaza is on a
10	course to satisfy its monetary obligations. A
11	workable plan has been devised which, if implemented,
12	should bring Plaza financial stability. However,
13	since Plaza presently does not have any available
14	external financing, its ability to make the required
15	interest payments depends entirely upon operating
16	performance. The viability of Plaza's plan for
17	stability through the license period is still,
18	therefore, in question, particularly since there is
1 9	little room for any material variance between actual
20	and forecasted results. If Plaza's stability is
21	reconsidered at the June 17 hearing, the Commission
22	will at that time not only know if the June 15
23	principal and interest payments have been made, but
24	will also be able to examine additional operating
25	results to determine the viability of the licensee's

1 projections.

Turning to the Castle, that licensee 2 is also faced with a substantial debt burden and an 3 extreme liquidity problem. Based on recent 4 performance levels, its prospects are somewhat less 5 promising than those of the Plaza. Management is 6 considering various alternatives to solve its 7 liquidity problem, including a restructuring of the 8 indebtedness and a sale of certain ancillary 9 properties and facilities. Until such a restructuring 10 is completed, Castle can rely only on cash generated 11 from operations to service its debt and provide for 12 its anticipated capital requirements. Castle 13 acknowledges that, if current levels of operations 14 continue, and no restructuring is effected, funds 15 generated from operations will not be sufficient to 16 cover its debt service requirements. 17 Castle has a long-term debt balance 18 of approximately 366 million dollars, including 19 roughly 204 million dollars principal amount of 13.75 20 percent first mortgage bonds. Its most immediate 21 financial concern is the ability to pay a 22.7 million 22 dollar sinking fund payment on the mortgage bonds due 23 June 15, 1991, together with an interest payment of 24 18.4 million dollars. 25

1	Ribis testified that, with respect to
2	the sinking fund payment, Castle is offering to
3	exchange 22.7 million dollars of its first mortgage
4	bonds for new bonds of a similar amount. Consummation
5	of this exchange offer which expires on June 15, 1991
6	would enable Castle to make this payment. The new
7	bonds would bear interest at 13.875 percent and mature
8	in 1996. Castle anticipates a similar transaction in
9	1992 to satisfy that year's requirement. Ribis stated
10	that he has assurances from the holders of 20 percent
11	of the outstanding bonds that they will participate in
12	this offering. Counsel for the Putnam Companies, a
13	member of the Steering Committee representing the
14	holders of approximately 33 percent of the bonds,
15	maintained that no commitment had been given or made
16	from that large block. It is indisputable that
17	implementation of this exchange offer or a
18	restructuring of similar nature is essential for
19	establishing financial stability. It is equally
20	clear, however, that, without firm commitment from the
21	bondholders, it is premature to assess the likelihood
22	
44	of the success for any such offering.
22	Moreover, despite the potential
	Moreover, despite the potential

Item No. 30 36.8 million dollars and 36.9 million dollars for 1991 1 2 and 1992, respectively. Castle anticipates that these 3 obligations will be satisfied using cash generated 4 from operations coupled with approximately seven 5 million dollars in proceeds from the sale of two 6 parking facilities to the Taj Mahal. The sale of one 7 of the parking facilities occurred on April 19, 1991, 8 and the sale of the second facility is expected to 9 occur later this month. Currently, Castle has 10 available cash reserves of 15 million dollars, without 11 considering the 4.9 million dollars received from the 12 recently concluded parking lot transaction. 13 Castle's financial forecasts indicate 14 that it will have approximately 22 million dollars in 15 available cash reserves on June 15, which would 16 clearly be enough to fund the 18.4 million dollar 17 interest payment of that date. Castle also expects 18 its cash reserve to be at the seven million dollar 19 level by the end of June, which would allow it to make 20 its required slot fee payment. However, in evaluating 21 the reasonableness of these projections, we must take 22 into account Castle's recent performance record and 2.3 the fact that it had to resort to external financial 24 resources in order to fund last year's debt interest 25 obligations.

1	Castle was unable to make the
2	required sinking fund payment or interest payments on
3	the mortgage bonds by the due date of June 15, 1990.
4	However, Castle was able to make the required payments
5	before expiration of the 10 day grace period by
6	borrowing the necessary funds from Trump coupled with
7	its own open market bond purchases. Castle also
8	experienced difficulty funding its December 1990 bond
9	interest payments, and again had to resort to
10	financing from the Trump family. In addition, Castle
11	has failed to make certain required interest payments
12	to MidLantic National Bank on a construction loan and
13	credit line. MidLantic has agreed to defer payment of
14	accrued and unpaid 1990 construction loan and credit
15	line interest.
16	Roger Wagner, president and CEO of
17	the Castle, who has considerable casino management
18	experience and a proven track record for controlling
19	costs and expenses, joined the Castle in January and
20	immediately began to implement significant changes to
21	increase profitability. Since his arrival, the Castle
22	has exceeded its forecasts in operating income.
23	Wagner testified that his program for enhancing
24	operating results includes a streamlined management
25	team, a reduction in operating expenses, a major shift

1	in marketing strategy, and a reconfigured casino floor
2	designed to optimize revenue. He testified that this
3	comprehensive operational and marketing program should
4	significantly increase revenue and reduce expenses,
5	allowing Castle to meet its forecasts and ensuring a
6	financially viable facility.
7	The record indicates that Wagner has
8	succeeded in eliminating over 200 middle management
9	positions without impairing the property's ability to
10	function effectively. In addition, certain costly
11	expenditures which have not been revenue enhancing,
12	such as the transportation facility, junkets, print
13	shop and laundry contracting, have been eliminated. A
14	significant aspect of Wagner's program is a dramatic
15	revision in promoting and marketing the facility in an
16	effort to carve out its own niche in the casino
17	marketplace. Finally, the casino floor will be
18	altered by Memorial Day, with 400 new slot machines,
19	700 slot stools, a slot poker area and wider aisles,
20	all of which should help increase slot revenue.
21	The Castle's situation is similar to
22	the Plaza insofar as it will have to rely upon funds
23	generated from operations in the absence of any
24	available external financing. Castle has yet to
25	demonstrate its ability to achieve the forecasted \sim

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

increases in earnings necessary to meet its 1991 and
 1992 debt service requirements. As in the case of the
 Plaza, the viability of Castle's plan to satisfy its
 debt service requirements cannot be finally assessed
 at this point.

Both the Plaza and the Castle 6 suffered through disappointing years in 1990 as the 7 economic recession affecting the northeast corridor of 8 the United States and last spring's opening of the Taj 9 Mahal resulted in declining revenues and decreased 10 Their plight was compounded by the well profits. 11 documented tribulations of The Trump Organization. 12 Saddled with substantial debt burdens and no longer 13 able to rely on the resources of The Trump 1.4 Organization, these entities certainly face a 15 difficult task as they seek to recapture their 16 predominant positions in this competitive 17 marketplace. There can be no doubt that, unless their 18 respective debt service requirements are restructured 19 and operating performances are significantly enhanced, 20 their continuing financial viability is in serious 21 22 peril. Despite these concerns, I am 2.3 satisfied that, similar to the situation that existed 24 with respect to the Taj Mahal, there has been an 25

1	adequate showing here of short-term stability for each
2	of the licensees. Indeed, the record persuasively
3	demonstrates that both properties are generating
4	sufficient operating income and can reasonably be
5	expected to continue to satisfy all current
6	obligations, including taxes, payroll, vendor accounts
7	and patron expenses. Their fundamental problems
8	derive primarily from their substantial debt burdens.
9	Both entities have devised plans that deal effectively
10	with these debt service requirements, which if
11	implemented, may provide the necessary financial
12	stability through the license period. I also derive a
13	considerable degree of comfort from the conduct of the
14	creditors in withholding action in enforcing their
15	legal claims against these debtors. In addition,
16	there has been no suggestion that any unlicensed or
17	unlicensable interests have attempted to take
18	advantage of their uncertain financial status or to
19	take any action which would threaten the public
20	interest in the integrity of the entities, their
21	operations or their structures. Considering all of
22	these factors, the public interest would be protected
23	if licensure were now to be continued for a short
24	period and under strict scrutiny and supervision.
25	As I have indicated, it will be

1	necessary to reconvene on June 17 to continue the
2	examination of the financial status of The Trump
3	Organization and the Taj Mahal. I believe that the
4	financial stability issue with respect to these.
5	licensees should be consolidated with that
6	proceeding. At that time, we will have a much clearer
7	picture of the viability of the proposed
8	restructurings and the ability of each of the
9	licensees to make the requisite debt payments. During
10	the interim period, of course, the Commission and the
11	Division will closely monitor the progress of each of
12	the licensees.
13	There being no disputed issues before
14	the Commission other than that of financial stability,
15	and concluding that each of the licensees has
16	established by clear and convincing evidence all other
17	elements of the proofs necessary for relicensure, I
18	move that the Commission renew the casino license of
19	Trump Plaza effective May 16, 1991, subject to all of
20	the conditions set forth in the staff reports in
21	evidence and further subject to consolidating the
22	hearing on the subject of financial stability with the
23	hearing scheduled for June 17, 1991, regarding the Taj
24	Mahal and The Trump Organization. Of key significance
25	are the following conditions recommended in the report

1 of Division of Financial Evaluation: 2 1. On a weekly basis, a report 3 detailing Plaza's cash balance and progress toward 4 making its 16.1 million dollar interest payment due 5 June 15, 1991 is to be provided to the Commission and 6 to the Division. 7 2. Within 15 calendar days of the end 8 of each month, the Plaza shall submit monthly balance 9 sheets, statements of income, and statements of cash 10 flows to the Commission and to the Division. 11 3. Within 15 calendar days at the end 12 of each month, the Plaza shall advise the Commission 13 and the Division of any significant deviation from the 14 financial forecasts submitted in connection with this 15 license hearing as well as to disclose the specific 16 variances and management's analysis of the factors 17 contributing to any such variances. Significant 1.8 deviations shall be determined on a monthly and year 19 to date basis based on the following criteria: 2.0 a. A five percent or greater variance 21 between actual and forecasted net revenues. 22 b. A five percent or greater variance 23 between actual and forecasted operating costs and 24 expenses. 25 c. A 2.5 percent or greater variance

	Item No. 50
1	between actual and forecasted net income.
2	d. Any variance between actual and
3	forecasted sources and uses of cash.
4	Relicensure shall also be subject to
5	the condition suggested by the Division of Gaming
6	Enforcement, that the Plaza is to make every
7	reasonable effort to procure a credit line and an
8	available ongoing credit line and is to report to the
9	Commission and to the Division on a weekly basis
10	between now and June 17 on its efforts and discussions
11	in that regard. That report I suggest can be in the
12	same form and submitted on the same schedule as the
13	other report that Mr. Bollenbach is required to submit
14	with respect to the Taj Mahal.
15	In addition, relicensure shall be
16	subject to all of the conditions imposed August 21,
17	1990, in connection with the Commission's approval of
18	the Credit and Override Agreements, with the exception
19	of paragraph 1 (b) in Resolution No. 90-233 which is
20	to be superceded by the following condition:
21	Any payment from the licensee to any related
22	entity, or any partner or shareholder of the
23	licensee shall be subject to prior Commission
24	approval with the exception of the following:
25	(1) payments pursuant to a tax allocation

	200M 000 00
1	agreement; (2) payments to satisfy or maintain
2	a debt service obligation, the structure of
3	which has been expressly approved by the
4	Commission; (3) payments representing the
5	licensee's proportionate share of group
6	insurance premiums; (4) payments for fair and
7	adequate consideration for services rendered or
8	property purchased or leased by or to casino
9	service industries or junket enterprises or
10	applicants for such licenses; and (5) any
11	individual payment in the ordinary course of
12	business less than \$100,000 and any such
13	cumulative payments not exceeding \$500,000
14	in any calendar year.
15	Written notice of any payment which
16	is exempt from prior approval pursuant to one of the
17	five exceptions set forth in this condition shall be
18	provided to the Commission and to the Division within
19	five days of any such payment.
20	So moved.
21	COMMISSIONER WATERS: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Comment or
23	discussion?
24	On the motion all in favor will so
25	i/ndicateor I think in this instance we will call

221 Item No. 30 again for a roll call. 1 2 Commissioner Dodd? 3 COMMISSIONER DODD: Aye. 4 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Vice Chair 5 Armstrong? 6 VICE CHAIR ARMSTRONG: Yes. 7 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Commissioner 8 Hurley? 9 COMMISSIONER HURLEY: Yes. 10CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Commissioner 11 Waters? 12 COMMISSIONER WATERS: Yes. 13 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: And I vote yes. 14 The record will reflect that the vote 15 is unanimous. 16 (All Commissioners present voted in 17 favor of the motion) 18 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: I would further move the Commission renew the casino license of Trump 19 Castle Associates effective May 16, 1991 subject to 20 all the conditions set forth in the staff reports 21 submitted into evidence and otherwise here or now 22 23 imposed in the previous resolution on the Plaza, and 24 further subject on the condition that, on a weekly 25 basis, the bond indenture trustee shall submit a

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1	report to the Commission and to the Division with
2	respect to the progress of the Castle's exchange
3	offer. Likewise, Mr. Fusco, that report from the bond
4	indenture trustee should be filed by noon or by 10:00
5	whatever we had previously said on Tuesday of each
6	week.
7	So moved.
8	COMMISSIONER HURLEY: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Comment or
10	discussion?
11	VICE CHAIR ARMSTRONG: Mr. Chairman,
12	yes, I support the motion, but I have two brief
13	comments I want to make
14	The first one is that I just feel a
15	need at this point to say in connection with the
16	Castle renewal that I am less than enamored with the
17	transaction which occurred between Fred Trump and
18	Castle in connection with the December 1990 bond
19	interest payment, but in the interest of not
20	prejudging at this point in time and recognizing that
21	we will be addressing that incident in more detail,
22	probably within the next several weeks at one of our
23	regular public meetings, I will withhold further
24	comment until it's squarely in front of us, but
25	suffice it to say and I feel I should indicate that

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1	incident considered in the context of the delicate and
2	precarious financial condition the Castle is in is a
3	matter of considerable concern to me and I will have
4	more to say at a later point in time about that.
5	The second comment I just want to
6	make is that I do fully appreciate the business
7	realities of the need for adequate time to unravel and
8	restructure any complex financial problems and in
9	particular those which are confronting all of the
10	various Trump entities. However, I also respect the
11	reality of the words of the Casino Control Act which
1 2	require our casino licensees to possess financial
13	stability. In connection with both the renewal of
14	Plaza and the Castle it seems to me that a balancing
15	of the business realities with the regulatory
16	realities give me some comfort in agreeing that
17	additional time to resolve the financial matters
18	confronting these entities is appropriate and will not
1 9	do an injustice either to the public or to the intent
20	of the Casino Control Act. However, the whole point
21	of these comments is something that I mentioned in the
22	Taj Mahal renewal hearing and I wish to reemphasize
23	here that for me June 17 is a real date and I am very
24	serious about that speaking as one Commissioner.
25	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Anybody else have
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

224 Item No. 30 any comments on the motion? 1 Commissioner Dodd? 2 COMMISSIONER DODD: Aye. 3 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Vice Chair 4 5 Armstrong? VICE CHAIR ARMSTRONG: Yes. 6 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Commissioner 7 8 Hurley? COMMISSIONER HURLEY: Aye. 9 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Commissioner 1.0 11 Waters? COMMISSIONER WATERS: Yes. 12 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: And I vote yes. 13 The record will reflect that the vote 14 15 is unanimous. (All Commissioners present voted in 16 favor of the motion) 17 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: There are two 18 supplemental matters to be addressed. First, approval 19 of the Castle's sale of the two parking facilities to 20 the Taj Mahal. These transactions must be approved by 21 the Commission pursuant to the condition imposed at 22 the time of relicensure of the Taj Mahal and similarly 23 imposed on them now with respect to the Castle, Castle 24 25 and the Plaza.

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

Mr. Auriemma, does the Division have 1 any objection to approving these agreement? 2 MR. AURIEMMA: No, we don't. 3 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: I would move that 4 the Commission approve the Castle sale to the Taj 5 Mahal of the two parking facilities. 6 COMMISSIONER DODD: Second. 7 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Any comment or 8 discussion? 9 On the motion all in favor will so 10 11 indicate. The record will reflect the motion 12 13 carries unanimously. (All Commissioners present voted in 14 favor of the motion) 15 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: It is my 16 understanding that the Taj wishes to move to have its 17 license condition amended to reflect the same terms 18 that we imposed today on the upstreaming. 19 MR. FUSCO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 2.0 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: No objection to 21 that, Mr. Auriemma? 22 No. 23 MR. AURTEMMA: CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Anybody on the 24 25 Commission have any comment?

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1	I would move that the Taj Mahal
2	license resolution of April 18 be amended so that the
3	languages of the condition on the transfers be amended
4	so as to reflect the same language we have today
5	imposed on the Plaza and Castle.
6	COMMISSIONER DODD: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Any question?
8	COMMISSIONER DODD: That's a good
9	problem when and if it comes.
10	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: All in favor will
11	so indicate.
12	The record will reflect that that
13	motion likewise carries unanimously.
14	(All Commissioners present voted in
15	favor of the motion)
16	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Is there anything
17	else before us this afternoon?
18	COMMISSIONER DODD: Public comment.
19	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: On this matter.
20	MR. FUSCO: The alcoholic beverage
21	license, Mr. Chairman, I don't know if that was
22	included.
23	MR. ZIMMERMAN: It's included within
24	the staff report so we don't normally include it
25	within the motion.

1	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: It was the
2	intention and understanding and is the understanding
3	of the Commission that the resolutions just approved
4	encompass all of the CHAB and other associated
5	permissions and license so that alcohol can be served
6	through the 17th.
7	MR. ZIMMERMÁN: That will all be
8	reflected in the final resolution.
9	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: And even perhaps
10	this afternoon.
11	Anything further on this matter?
12	All right, thank you very much.
13	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: We have 15.
14	MS. BIACHE: Application of Joseph
15	Buck.
16	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: All right, this is
17	agenda Item No. 15.
18	Mr. Bennington, is Mr. Buck present?
19	MR. BENNINGTON: Yes, he is.
20	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Mr. Fusco, this is
21	your matter?
22	MR. FUSCO: It's my matter but as I
23	prevailed it would seem to me it's now Mr.
24	Bennington's matter.
25	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: I understand that,

1	but you are entering an appearance.
2	MR. FUSCO: Yes. This is one of the
3	five consecutive matters that I was going to present
4	to you.
5	MR. BENNINGTON: Mr. Fusco is getting
6	tough in his old age.
7	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Mr. Bennington, we
8	have the material before us including the exceptions
9	that the Commission is prepared to consider on a
10	constructive basis were filed in accordance with the
11	provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act.
12	MR. BENNINGTON: Mr. Chairman,
13	members of the Commission, obviously after such a
14	serious matter that you just heard I would like to try
15	and get you back down to a level to Mr. Buck, although
16	it certainly doesn't rise to the level of the
17	magnitude of relicensing two properties, it certainly
18	is to him one of the biggest decisions in his life.
19	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: I appreciate the
20	disclaimer, Mr. Bennington, but in view of the fact
21	you made it I must indicate as far as the Commission
22	is concerned there is no level of distinction in
23	focusing our attention between a corporate licensure
24	and an individual suspension or anything in between.
25	MR. BENNINGTON: Very well, and I
1	

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1	have certainly represented that to Mr. Buck.
2	Mr. Chairman, the situation at bar,
3	the initial decision unfortunately, and I have said
4	this numerous times, doesn't allow a lot of times for
5	the Commission to get a flavor of a person's
6	individual character and a person's individual
7	testimony and unfortunately that's the way the system
8	is presently set up, although I know recently the
9	Chairman, as well as the Commission is trying to take
10	a more active role in terms of these individual
11	licensing hearings which we certainly as one who
12	represents predominantly individual licensees or
13	applicants, we certainly welcome that because I think
14	unfortunately my opinion by way of editorializing is
15	that the administrative law process at least in recent
16	years, and I have been doing this since the advent of
17	the Act, has become nothing more than a rubber stamp
1'8	for the wishes of the Division of Gaming Enforcement
19	and I say that respectfully. With respect tomeaning
20	with no disrespect to the administrative laws judges
21	that are hearing these cases.
22	The case at bar, you have a
23	situation, for example, where I am not asking this
24	gentleman to take over as CEO of a large casino. I am
25	asking him to be a slot mechanic at a casino where I

- 1	
1	produced five character witnesses, each one of whom I
2	might add was a casino key licensee, one of whom was a
3	former captain of the New Jersey State Police who
4	testified candidly, this would be captain Ertle, now
5	he is an individual, I should use his correct title.
6	He is working head of security at the facility where
7	Mr. Buck was promised employment who came forward and
8	said basically I have never offered testimony, good
9	character testimony on behalf of anybody and I have
10	known this fellow and I think there is absolutely no
11	threat to the industry, no less to the operation that
12	I am going to be overseeing. For whatever reason the
13	Administrative Law Judge summarily disposed of my
14	character witnesses by representing that they were all
15	good, without getting into highlighting what exactly
16	these individual people said.
17	I would point out that as Mr. Buck is
18	before you as he was at the time of this
19	administrative law hearing, he has not been convicted
20	of any offense, as a matter of fact, the offenses for
21	which he was charged one of which he ultimately
22	received disposition by way of a satisfactory
23	completion of admission into the pretrial intervention
24	program, as well as another arrest that was disposed
25	of by way of a not guilty or dismissal of the charges,

Mr. Buck is not convicted, does not stand before you
 as a convicted person, as a matter of fact, has
 received an expungement from even those records of
 arrest.

So you have someone who is not forced 5 with or faced with the liability attendant to a 6 criminal conviction. Notwithstanding that I recognize 7 that the Act provides, as the Division has maintained, 8 that there still can be unprosecuted conduct and as a 9 result they are arguing that he lacks the requisite 10 good character, honesty and integrity. Also 11 noteworthy is the fact that this is not a 12 nondisclosure case. Mr. Buck clearly and candidly 13 14 indicated all these arrests on his application and 15 came forward to offer testimony. 16 Apparently the Administrative Law 17 Judge in this case felt that Mr. Buck was less than truthful, although he didn't use those words, but if 18 you read the decision that was basically what he said, 19 because it seemed like, to paraphrase, that he was 20 21 pulling teeth or I was pulling teeth in my direct

22 examination of Mr. Buck as was Mr. Fusco on
23 cross-examination. I can't fathom how he reaches that
24 conclusion when the fellow not only put it down on his
25 application, but he also comes in and admits it under

Item No: 30

1	oath that he did all the things that he said.
2	Unfortunately, some applicants, some petitioners, are
3	better or more articulate, I should say, than others.
4	Mr. Buck, as might be expected due to his age or
5	inexperiences, was having a difficult time I think in
6	admitting his crime or admitting his wrongs and coming
7	forward, and during the course of the testimony,
8	although the truth inevitably came out, as the judge
9	indicated, he felt we finally reached a point in the
10	proceedings so it wasn't as if Mr. Buck got up there
11	and denied these things happened, but as might be
12	expected in a situation such as this, Mr. Buck was
13	nervous, he was embarrassed, he had all his character
14	witnesses sitting there and to get up there and effect
15	a mea culpa in all of your friends and people standing
16	up on your behalf, no less your new wife, was a
17	difficult proposition I would submit for anybody to go
18	through, no less Joe Buck.
19	To make a long story short, it seems
20	to me that the case at bar I am asking you to look
21	beyond what it is that he was charged with and
22	recognize that these acts occurred a few years ago,
23	that there has been absolutely no contact with the
24	law. Mr. Buck at the very least has rehabilitated
25	himself I would think in the past two or three years,

and I think Mr. Fusco would agree that perhaps if Mr.
 Buck had waited a year or two more and more time had
 passed that perhaps the Division would not have
 entered an objection or recommended an objection to
 licensure.

Be that as it may, independent of any 6 7 counsel that he may have received, he sought to make an application and your affirmation of the decision 8 below here would certainly preclude him from filing 9 from five years hence albeit he also has the 10 opportunity to make an application for early or a 11 12 petition for early reapplication which he chooses not 13 to do.

14I recognize that the posture of the15Commission has been lately that it seems to be an all16or nothing situation, and I will be back in a few17weeks with a few more cases that I lost I might add in18front of the administrative law courts and I will19candidly tell you I am going to make the same argument20then.

As one who deals with these people on a routine basis all the time, it seems to me that perhaps somewhere along the way you could formulate some happy ground in between, some compromise in terms of punishment for these people. I'm not here to ask

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1	forgiveness for the fact that Joe Buck while a
- 1	corrections officer took money from an inmate, that he
2	
3	possessed drugs while in that capacity. I can point
4	out as bad as that may sound on its face the county
5	prosecutor decided not to prosecute him in that regard
6	and was satisfied with a resignation, an involuntary
7	or I should say a nonfavorable resignation of his
8	position. But I would say that we all make mistakes
9	when we are young, we all do things that are wrong, we
10	all need time as you heard from other applicants this
11	morning, some of whom were unrepresented, for a period
12	of forgiveness. I think if the Commission somehow or
13	another could fashion penalties to meet the wrong
14	perhaps a probationary period or perhaps a time of
15	fine
16	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Mr. Bennington,
17	let me just suggest to you in a rhetorical fashion,
18	because we have heard this from others and I know we
1,9	will hear it again from you, I suggest the argument
20	ought properly be made to the legislature. We have
21	not been assigned by the legislature the authority or
22	the responsibility to punish. We don't sanction
23	people in the context that is for punishment of other
24	than provisions of the Casino Control Act. It's not
25	our job. Whether what he went through with the

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

2not for us to decide. Our focus is to decide3licensure questions in which in this context turn on4issues of integrity and honesty and good character.5The thrust that you are suggesting, and as I said yo6are not the only one that does, is I think more7properly addressed to a different legislative thrust8than the one they have given.9MR. BENNINGTON: Clearly I think th10Act would empower you as the Commission to set up or11establishyou could, for example, if, in fact, he w12a licensee and not just a petitioner to be a license13you could, for example, in my estimation, maybe I an14wrong, you could suspend the license, you could impo15some monetary penalty or some other sanctions, but I16haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't see17that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion18CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answ19is if you don't have good character, you don't have20you worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't21MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go23round and round in other forums with respect to		Item Nor So
3licensure questions in which in this context turn on4issues of integrity and honesty and good character.5The thrust that you are suggesting, and as I said yo6are not the only one that does, is I think more7properly addressed to a different legislative thrust8than the one they have given.9MR. BENNINGTON: Clearly I think th10Act would empower you as the Commission to set up or11establishyou could, for example, if, in fact, he w12a licensee and not just a petitioner to be a licensee13you could, for example, in my estimation, maybe I an14wrong, you could suspend the license, you could impose15some monetary penalty or some other sanctions, but I16haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't see17that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion18CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answ19is if you don't have good character, you don't have20MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go21MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go23whether or not you can have sort of good character	1	criminal process is or is not adequate punishment is
4 issues of integrity and honesty and good character. 5 The thrust that you are suggesting, and as I said yo 6 are not the only one that does, is I think more 7 properly addressed to a different legislative thrust 8 than the one they have given. 9 MR. BENNINGTON: Clearly I think th 10 Act would empower you as the Commission to set up or 11 establishyou could, for example, if, in fact, he w 12 a licensee and not just a petitioner to be a licensee 13 you could, for example, in my estimation, maybe I an 14 wrong, you could suspend the license, you could impose 15 some monetary penalty or some other sanctions, but I 16 haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't see 17 that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion 18 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answith 19 is if you don't have good character, you don't have 20 NR. BENNINGTON: You and I have good 21 MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have good 22 MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have good 23 round and round in other forums with respect to 24 whether or not you can	2	
5 The thrust that you are suggesting, and as I said yo 6 are not the only one that does, is I think more 7 properly addressed to a different legislative thrust 8 than the one they have given. 9 MR. BENNINGTON: Clearly I think th 10 Act would empower you as the Commission to set up or 11 establishyou could, for example, if, in fact, he w 12 a licensee and not just a petitioner to be a licensee 13 you could, for example, in my estimation, maybe I an 14 wrong, you could suspend the license, you could impo 15 some monetary penalty or some other sanctions, but I 16 haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't see 17 that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion 18 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answith 19 is if you don't have good character, you don't have 20 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't 21 MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go 22 round and round in other forums with respect to 23 whether or not you can have sort of good character	3	
6are not the only one that does, is I think more7properly addressed to a different legislative thrust8than the one they have given.9MR. BENNINGTON: Clearly I think th10Act would empower you as the Commission to set up or11establishyou could, for example, if, in fact, he w12a licensee and not just a petitioner to be a license13you could, for example, in my estimation, maybe I an14wrong, you could suspend the license, you could import15some monetary penalty or some other sanctions, but D16haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't see17that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion18CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the anso19is if you don't have good character, you don't have20NR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go21MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go23round and round in other forums with respect to24whether or not you can have sort of good character	4	
7 properly addressed to a different legislative thrust than the one they have given. MR. BENNINGTON: Clearly I think th Act would empower you as the Commission to set up or establishyou could, for example, if, in fact, he w a licensee and not just a petitioner to be a licenser you could, for example, in my estimation, maybe I an wrong, you could suspend the license, you could impose some monetary penalty or some other sanctions, but I haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't see that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the ansu is if you don't have good character, you don't have \$100 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't lack \$500 worth. 22 MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go round and round in other forums with respect to	5	
8 than the one they have given. 9 MR. BENNINGTON: Clearly I think th 10 Act would empower you as the Commission to set up or 11 establishyou could, for example, if, in fact, he w 12 a licensee and not just a petitioner to be a license 13 you could, for example, in my estimation, maybe I an 14 wrong, you could suspend the license, you could impose 15 some monetary penalty or some other sanctions, but I 16 haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't see 17 that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion 18 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answ 19 is if you don't have good character, you don't have 20 S100 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't 21 MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go 23 round and round in other forums with respect to 24 whether or not you can have sort of good character	6	
MR. BENNINGTON: Clearly I think th Act would empower you as the Commission to set up or establishyou could, for example, if, in fact, he w a licensee and not just a petitioner to be a license you could, for example, in my estimation, maybe I an wrong, you could suspend the license, you could impo some monetary penalty or some other sanctions, but D haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't see that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answ is if you don't have good character, you don't have \$100 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't lack \$500 worth. MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go round and round in other forums with respect to whether or not you can have sort of good character	7	properly addressed to a different legislative thrust
10 Act would empower you as the Commission to set up or 11 establishyou could, for example, if, in fact, he will 12 a licensee and not just a petitioner to be a licensee 13 you could, for example, in my estimation, maybe I and 14 wrong, you could suspend the license, you could impose 15 some monetary penalty or some other sanctions, but I 16 haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't see 17 that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion 18 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answ 19 is if you don't have good character, you don't have 20 NR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go 21 mr. BENNINGTON: You and I have go 23 round and round in other forums with respect to 24 whether or not you can have sort of good character	8	
<pre>11 establishyou could, for example, if, in fact, he w 12 a licensee and not just a petitioner to be a license 13 you could, for example, in my estimation, maybe I an 14 wrong, you could suspend the license, you could import 15 some monetary penalty or some other sanctions, but 1 16 haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't see 17 that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion 18 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the ans 19 is if you don't have good character, you don't have 20 \$100 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't 21 lack \$500 worth. 22 MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go 23 round and round in other forums with respect to 24 whether or not you can have sort of good character</pre>	9	MR. BENNINGTON: Clearly I think the
12 a licensee and not just a petitioner to be a license 13 you could, for example, in my estimation, maybe I and 14 wrong, you could suspend the license, you could import 15 some monetary penalty or some other sanctions, but J 16 haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't seen 17 that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion 18 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answ 19 is if you don't have good character, you don't have 20 \$100 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't 21 lack \$500 worth. 22 MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go 23 round and round in other forums with respect to 24 whether or not you can have sort of good character	10	
<pre>13 you could, for example, in my estimation, maybe I an 14 wrong, you could suspend the license, you could import 15 some monetary penalty or some other sanctions, but I 16 haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't seen 17 that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion 18 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answ 19 is if you don't have good character, you don't have 20 \$100 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't 21 lack \$500 worth. 22 MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have good 23 round and round in other forums with respect to 24 whether or not you can have sort of good character</pre>	11	
<pre>wrong, you could suspend the license, you could impose some monetary penalty or some other sanctions, but 1 haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't see that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answ is if you don't have good character, you don't have \$100 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't lack \$500 worth. MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go round and round in other forums with respect to whether or not you can have sort of good character</pre>	12	
<pre>some monetary penalty or some other sanctions, but I haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't see that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answ is if you don't have good character, you don't have \$100 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't lack \$500 worth. MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go round and round in other forums with respect to whether or not you can have sort of good character</pre>	13	
haven't seen that. Maybe I am wrong. I haven't seen that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answ is if you don't have good character, you don't have \$100 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't lack \$500 worth. 22 MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have good round and round in other forums with respect to 24 whether or not you can have sort of good character	14	wrong, you could suspend the license, you could impose
17 that. If at all it has been on a very rare occasion 18 CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answ 19 is if you don't have good character, you don't have 20 \$100 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't 21 lack \$500 worth. 22 MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go 23 round and round in other forums with respect to 24 whether or not you can have sort of good character	15	
CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answ is if you don't have good character, you don't have \$100 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't lack \$500 worth. MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go round and round in other forums with respect to whether or not you can have sort of good character	16	
is if you don't have good character, you don't have \$100 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't lack \$500 worth. MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go round and round in other forums with respect to whether or not you can have sort of good character	17	
\$100 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't lack \$500 worth. 22 MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go 23 round and round in other forums with respect to 24 whether or not you can have sort of good character	18	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because the answe
 21 lack \$500 worth. 22 MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go 23 round and round in other forums with respect to 24 whether or not you can have sort of good character 	19	is if you don't have good character, you don't have
22 MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have go 23 round and round in other forums with respect to 24 whether or not you can have sort of good character	2	\$100 worth, you don't lack \$100 worth or you don't
23 round and round in other forums with respect to 24 whether or not you can have sort of good character	2	
24 whether or not you can have sort of good character	2	MR. BENNINGTON: You and I have gone
	2	3 round and round in other forums with respect to
25 a little bit of good character or a lot of good	2	4 whether or not you can have sort of good character or
	2	5 a little bit of good character or a lot of good

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1	character. I disagree. I think that people exhibit
2	bad character in some instances, lawyers alike, and
3	they exhibit excellent character in other instances,
4	and I think things can't be that cut and dry in life.
5	Maybe I am wrong but I just don't see things that
6	black and white. I see certain instances where people
7	clearly should not be allowed in the industry because
8	of the fact that their conduct would be inimical to
9	the policies of the Act. I do see other mistakes
10	where people have admitted wrong and I think you might
11	if you looked at it in a vacuum exemplify or deal with
12	or represent complete bad character, but I don't think
13	that a person should be punished for the length of
14	time that the Act provides that they are punished
15	for.
16	Be that as it may, it's really not
17	something, I think it's a remedy at hand here because
18	we are asking for a first license. So it's not a
19	question that he is already licensed and you can do
20	something with him. You have to focus on what it is
21	the judge said and he said he felt the guy lacked good
22	character, honesty and integrity merely from the
23	perspective that he didn't like the way he testified.
24	He felt the eventually we got to the truth and we got
25	to the very bottom of it but it was like pulling

1	teeth. Well, you can't punish the applicant for
2	either the lawyer's inability to draw out the
3	testimony as proficiently as he maybe should have.
4	Punish me. But you certainly can't punish the
5	applicant in the sense that he was honest. It wasn't
6	as if he denied any of the acts. Again, when you
7	focus on the position that he is looking for, he is
8	trying to be a slot mechanic. He is trying to make a
9	living. His wife is a casino pitboss in another
10	place. He has brought in not only his wife to testify
11	as to his good character, he brought in other casino
12	key employees and, most importantly, he brought in the
13	guy that's the head of security for the facility where
14	he wants to work who is a former state policeman, the
15	captain standing up there saying I don't have any
16	problem with this guy working, A, in the industry or,
17	B, in a particular facility that I am in charge of
18	monitoring from a security standpoint.
19	That having been said, legally you
20	certainly are empowered to disregard the position of
21	the Administrative Law Judge, and I recognize that
22	it's a difficult burden, but I would also ask and I
23	would say this again respectively, the Commission of
24	recent in my estimation on behalf of Mr. Buck has
25	taken such a strict and tough perspective as to some

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1	of these individual applicants, today I watched one
2	after the other and I say this, I recognize some
3	decisions were there when the Administrative Law Judge
4	recommended licensure, some where they didn't
5	recommend licensure and the Commission has taken a
6	relatively intolerable point of view that if people do
7	something wrong whatsoever that they are not going to
8	be licensed and I would ask that in this particular
9	case, if not in general, that the Commission start
10	taking a more reasonable and human approach, perhaps a
11	better word would be more compassionate approach.
12	This is a difficult industry to get a job let alone
13	anywhere today. If these people can find employment
14	and the particular casino is willing to hire them and
15	you can produce witnesses from that casino that are
16	saying that they don't represent a threat, I find it a
17	difficult concept to follow that the regulatory body
18	in charge of giving them a license is going to come
19	along and say that you are not worthy of licensure.
20	because you represent a threat to the industry.
21	That having been said, there are no
2 2	real legal arguments that I can proffer at this time
23	because the legal arguments are what they are. He
24	did, in fact, do the acts that were alleged. He
25	admitted them. He is not convicted of any crime. He

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1	stands here an unconvicted man. He stands here a
2	young man having admitted a mistake. All the acts
3	occurred more than a few years ago. He has attempted
4	to introduce character testimony that would be
5	favorable to him. For whatever reason this particular
6	law judge didn't accept it. Perhaps another
7	Administrative Law Judge would be more compassionate-
8	or more liberal as would relate to individual casino
9	applicants would have accepted it. It didn't happen
10	that way.
11	So in that regard I would ask that
12	the Commission show some compassion, recognize the
13	position that we are applying for, look to the people
14	that testified on this fellow'sas to this fellow's
15	good character and quite simply cut him a break and
16	allow him the opportunity to work in the industry as
17	he was trying to do and he wants to do. I would think
18	that that would be in the interest of justice and he
19	certainly doesn't represent a threat to the industry
20	as a slot mechanic by virtue of what he did some two
21	or three years ago.
22	Thank you very much.
23	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Thank you, Mr.
24	Bennington.
25	Mr. Fusco.

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

	reem nor so
1	MR. FUSCO: Mr. Chairman, this is a
2	very difficult act to follow. It's very tough to get
3	up here and say anything bad about Mr. Buck after
4	listening to Mr. Bennington.
5	However, I just want to remind the
6	Commission that the OAL doesn't always rubber stamp
7	the cases that the Division presents to them and Mr.
8	Bennington should know better than anyone the last
9	time
10	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Because he won a
11	few of them.
12	MR. FUSCO: The last time I was up
13	here with him was Christina Paul, I think was one of
14	the very first cases you sat on, and we had the
15	situation exactly reversed.
16	If there had been a jury present I
17	would have been up yelling a little while ago. There
18	is one thing I would like to address and that was the
19	comments that Mr. Bennington made regarding
20	expungement. In his exceptions he indicated that the
21	petitioner is presently awaiting the expungement of
22	the various criminal charges that have been originally
23	lodged against him. First of all, I don't think
24	that's relevant to any decision that you have to make
25	here today, but then, secondly, he said in his

1	comments to you that these charges were expunged. I
-	
2	don't know how Mr. Bennington was able to do that
3	because if my understanding is correct in Title 52 if
4	there is presently pending a civil action before any
5	agency in the state you are not eligible to have an
6	expungement of the charges. Nevertheless, Mr.
7	Bennington has worked miracles before, perhaps that's
8	one of them that he was able to accomplish with regard
9	to the actual criminal charges that had been lodged in
10	two separate instances.
11	Let me discuss the facts of this case
12	very briefly because I am sure each one of you had an
13	opportunity to read the initial decision.
14	I think Mr. Bennington hit the nail
15	on the head when he says I said and the judge said it
16	was like pulling teeth to get the truth out of Mr.
17	Buck with regard to what happened back in 1987. Mr.
18	Buck was employed as a prison guard. I suggest to you
19	that he is held to a very high level of trust in such
2.0	a position. His initial testimony at the hearing is
21	that one of the prisoners wanted him to bring
22	something into the prison. So what's the next logical
23	question? What do you want me to bring in? We got
24	detergent as an answer. Well, we can bring in
25	detergent, we can bring in cigarettes, and I was
8	

1	dumbfounded, I mean we wouldn't be here today if he
2	was going to be bringing detergent in. That's not
3	what this place was all about because when you look at
4	the agreement or forego prosecution that's how he
5	ended up losing his job, he had an agreement to forego
6	prosecution and what that agreement talked about was,
7	and this is marked into evidence, his official
8	misconduct related to the possession and possession
9	with intent to distribute a controlled dangerous
10	substance. I have never known Fab or Tide or any of
11	those others to be a controlled dangerous substance,
12	but this is what Mr. Buck testified to.
13	It wasn't until 40 minutes or maybe
14	an hour later that we eventually got the truth out of
15	Mr. Buck. Well, yes, there may have been some
16	discussion about cocaine because I had cocaine in my
17	pocket or what I believed was cocaine from the night
18	before. This man being held to a very high standard
19	was very simply on the take. That's what this case is
20	all about. For whatever reason the prison officials
21	didn't want to prosecute him. They let him resign.
22	You would think that at this point Mr. Buck would have
23	learned his lesson, that he would have turned over a
24	new leaf, but that's not what happened.
25	A little over a year later now he is

1	involved in an automobile accident where he leaves the
2	scene of the accident and he has cocaine and marijuana
3	in his possession and he got PTI and as a result the
4	charges were dismissed. However, in the exceptions
5	filed by Mr. Bennington it says he was not guilty of
	the offense. Well, this is a semantic argument I
6	
7	suggest to you. Quite properly I suggest that he was
8	guilty of the offense. It's just because of the
9	system we have in New Jersey that the charges were
10	eventually dismissed against him. These are not
11	ancient offenses. We are only talking a couple of
12	years ago that he was in very serious trouble.
13	Mr. Bennington has told you, and I
14	think he mentioned it twice, that a captain, former
15	captain of the state police got up at this hearing and
16	said that this man, Mr. Buck, had the highest degree
17	of integrity, honesty and good character and should be
18	given a license in the casino industry. I attempted
19	to ask him on cross-examination concerning the
20	standards for hiring somebody in the state police
21	whether or not this type of person would be allowed to
22	be hired because clearly a person in the state police
23	would have to have honesty, good character and
24	integrity, but we were never allowed to have an answer
25	to that question. It was objected to. I suggest most

1	strenuously that clearly Mr. Buck wouldn't have had
2	the honesty, good character and integrity as a member
3	of the state police nor does he have the honesty, good
4	character and integrity to be a licensee in the gaming
5	industry. Not at least at this time.
6	This case I suggest is not based upon
7	the factual substance as much as it is the lack of
8	candor and the lack of credibility of this man at the
9	time of the hearing because I suggest to you that that
10	weighs very heavily against any claim that he might
11	have toward showing good character, honesty and
12	integrity within the meaning of the Act.
13	For these reasons, the reasons that I
14	have stated in my reply to exceptions and the reasons
15	offered by the Administrative Law Judge I would ask
16	that you affirm and adopt the initial decision.
17	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Thank you.
18	Anybody on the Commission have any
19	questions of either counsel?
20	Comment or discussion?
21	A motion?
22	COMMISSIONER WATERS: Mr. Chairman, I
23	think there is one point that has been made by the
24	Division that is probably controlling, and I have no
25	way of coming to any conclusion different from that
	λ

1	presented and it appears on page nine of the ALJ's
2	decision where he deals with the fact that he found
3	the respondent was not candid and forthright and
4	didn't seem to accept full responsibility for his
5	actions, a reluctant witness, and in his mind that
6	factor weighed against the conclusion of good
7	character, honesty and integrity. I think this is one
8	of the problems we run into and I don't know what the
9	conclusion may have been had he been forthright, or at
10	least met the standards that the ALJ found to be
11	forthright, it may have been the result would have
12	been different. But I didn't see the respondent at
13	the time of the hearing and as usual we defer I would
14	think to the ALJ's judgment since he was conducting
15	the hearing.
16	Therefore, I would move to affirm the
17	initial decision and deny the application for a casino
18	employee license.
19	VICE CHAIR ARMSTRONG: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Any other comment
21	or discussion?
22	Let me just indicate that there is on
23	the surface an apparent inconsistency at page nine and
24	I just want to reflect my own understanding, I
25	canbesides the language, because I think I
1	

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1	understand the context, but if you look at it closely
2	the judge says at page nine at this same paragraph to
3	which Commissioner Waters was referring he said
4	admirably the petitioner has made substantial progress
5	toward rehabilitation and establishing his good
6	character, honesty and integrity over the past two
7	years, and here's what I want to emphasize, he has
8	accepted responsibility for his behavior to a
9	substantial degree, et cetera, and continuing, and
10	then three sentences later he was not totally candid
11	and forthright and did not seem to accept full
12	responsibility for his actions. I understand that
13	apparent inconsistency to reflect a distinction in the
14	judge's mind between responsibility for his conduct
15	and his behavior since this incident which is what he
16	is referring to in the first instance, in the second
17	case acknowledging responsibility for what he did or
18	the conduct that was the subject of these incidents in
19	the second instance, and that's the way I understand
20	what would otherwise appear to be an inconsistency.
21	With that understanding and for that
22	reason I am inclined to support the motion.
23	Any other comment or discussion?
24	On the motion all in favor will so
25	indicate.

,

	247
	Item No. 30 & Public Participation
1	. The record will reflect that the
2	motion carries unanimously.
3	(All Commissioners present voted in
4	favor of the motion)
5	CHAIRMAN PERSKIE: Thank you.
6	There being no appearance this
7	meeting is adjourned.
· 8	(At which time the meeting was
9	adjourned at 4:30 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	Ξ.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Silver & Renzi Reporting Service

1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	I, CAROLYN GERBER, a Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter and a Notary Public of the State of New
6	Jersey, do hereby certify the foregoing to be a true
7	and accurate transcript of my original stenographic
8	notes taken at the time and place hereinbefore set
9	forth.
10	
11	
12 13	and up berbaa
14	apundada
15	CAROLYN GERBER, CSR
16	
17	Dated: May 13, 1991.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	